UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,164	05/31/2001	Daniel Fishman	2857/106	8770
	7590 02/12/200 & SUNSTEIN LLP	9	EXAMINER	
125 SUMMER STREET			MIRZA, ADNAN M	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/872,164	FISHMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ADNAN M. MIRZA	2445
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164 Page 2

Art Unit: 2445

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasansky

(U.S. 5,960,406) and further in view of Zhang et al (U.S. 6,016,478).

As per claims 10,1,14 Rasansky disclosed a system for scheduling an event over a network in a

calendar of an invitee, the event having a set of details provided by an event creator including at

least a predetermined time for the event, the system comprising: that when selected by the invitee

creates a schedule request including at least the predetermined time for the event and an

identifier for the event creator (col. 5, lines 9-27), the schedule request directing the event to the

invitee's calendar; at least on server, in communication with the network, to receive the schedule

request and store the event in the invitee's calendar and in an event record that includes a second

link to the invitee's calendar; and at least on database, in communication with server, to store the

event record (col.17, lines 28-49).

However Rasansky did not disclose in detail, "a first link, inserted in a web page associated with

the event creator and including the event".

In the same field of endeavor Zhang disclosed, "Accept button lets the user enter a short reply message (via reply dialog 635 in FIG. 6B) and then sends the acceptance to initiator confirming the user will attend. The event is automatically added to the user's calendar" (col. 13, lines 22-25).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated accept button lets the user enter a short reply message (via reply dialog 635 in FIG. 6B) and then sends the acceptance to initiator confirming the user will attend. The event is automatically added to the user's calendar as taught by Zhang in the method of Rasansky to make the user scheduling system more user friendly while being productive and make it more versatile.

- 3. As per claims 2,12,16 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed further including; creating a link from the event record to the event creator's calendar; and adding the event to the event creator's calendar (Zhang, col.3, lines 25-30).
- 4. As per claims 3,13 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed wherein the network is the Internet (Ranasky, col. 6, lines 4-19).
- 6. As per claim 4 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar, the event creator's calendar and the event record are stored in a database in communication with the server (Ranasky, col. 5, lines 16-27).

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164

Art Unit: 2445

7. As per claims 5,11,15 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed wherein the schedule request is a

Page 4

hypertext transfer protocol request (Ranasky, col. 6, lines 4-19).

8. As per claim 6 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar is part of a

personal information management system (Zhang, col. 5, lines 55-64).

9. As per claims 7,17 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed wherein the event creator changes at least

one member of the set of details for the event, the method further including: updating the event

record with the changed set of details; and updating the event in the invitee's calendar with the

changed set of details using the link between the event record and the invitee's calendar (Zhang,

col. 6, lines 30-43).

10. As per claims 8,18 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed further including sending a notification

message to the invitee including the changed set of details (Zhang, col. 6, lines 30-43).

11. As per claim 9 Rasansky-Zhang disclosed wherein the schedule is created using a link

associated with the event (Zhang, col. 3, lines 25-30).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164 Page 5

Art Unit: 2445

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.
- 13. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/872,164

Art Unit: 2445

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

/A. M. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 2445

/Larry D Donaghue/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454

Page 6